

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,952	01/05/2001	Oren Kremer	2315/1h317US1	5185	
7:	590 03/22/2004	× .	EXAM	EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			RIMELL, SAMUEL G		
805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2175	6	
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/755,952	KREMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Rimell	2175	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i. It reply within the statutory minimum of thir It riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON Itatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ ⁻	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-93 is/are pending in the application	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received. SAM RIMELL	
A44-2-b		PRIMARY EXAMINER	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-802)		Summon (PTO 442)	

1)		of References	Cited ((PTO-892)
----	--	---------------	---------	-----------

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-41	3
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2175

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I: Claims 2-86, addressed to a method and system for providing preview information.

Group II: Claims 87-93, addressed to a method for generating announcements derived from preview information.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Art Unit: 2175

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2175